arXiv:1106.1515v2 [math.AP] 19 Jun 2011

On the Liouville type theorem for stationary compressible Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$

Dongho Chae
Department of Mathematics
Sungkyunkwan University
Suwon 440-746, Korea
e-mail: *chae@skku.edu*

Abstract

In this paper we prove Liouville type result for the stationary solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations(NSP) and the compressible Navier-Stokes equations(NS) in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$. Assuming suitable integrability and the uniform boundedness conditions for the solutions we are led to the conclusion that $v = 0$. In the case of (NS) we deduce that the similar integrability conditions imply $v = 0$ and ρ =constant on $\mathbb{R}^N$. This shows that if we impose the the non-vacuum boundary condition at spatial infinity for (NS), $v \to 0$ and $\rho \to \rho_\infty > 0$, then $v = 0$, $\rho = \rho_\infty$ are the solutions.

AMS Subject Classification Number:76N10,76N15, 35Q35
keywords: stationary compressible Navier-Stokes Poisson equations, stationary compressible Navier-Stokes equations, Liouville type theorem

1 Introduction

We are concerned on the stationary Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$.

$$\begin{align} &\mathrm{div}(\rho v) = 0, \tag{1.1}\\ &\mathrm{div}(\rho v \otimes v) = -\nabla p + k\rho\nabla\Phi + \mu\Delta v + (\mu+\lambda)\nabla \mathrm{div}\, v, \tag{1.2}\\ &\Delta\Phi = \rho - \rho_0, \tag{1.3}\\ &p = a\rho^\gamma,\ \gamma > 1. \tag{1.4}\end{align}$$

The system (NSP) describes compressible gas flows, and ρ, v, Φ and p denote the density, velocity, the potential of the underlying force and the pressure respectively. The constant $\rho_0 \geq 0$ is called the background state. The viscosity constants λ, μ satisfy $\mu > 0$, $\lambda + \mu > 0$. Here k is also a physical constant, which signifies the property of the forcing, repulsive if $k > 0$ and attractive if $k < 0$. In particular, in the case $k = 0$(and without (1.3)) the system reduces to the following stationary compressible Navier-Stokes equations(NS).

$$\begin{align} &\mathrm{div}(\rho v) = 0, \tag{1.5}\\ &\mathrm{div}(\rho v \otimes v) = -\nabla p + \mu\Delta v + (\mu+\lambda)\nabla \mathrm{div}\, v, \tag{1.6}\\ &p = a\rho^\gamma,\ \gamma > 1. \tag{1.7}\end{align}$$

For the general treatment of the system (NSP) and (NS), including the Cauchy problem of the time dependent equations we refer e.g. [2, 4, 5, 6]. Here we prove a Liouville type theorem of the stationary systems (NSP) and (NS) as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $N \geq 2$.*

(i) (Navier-Stokes Poisson equations): Suppose (ρ, v, Φ) is a smooth solution to (NSP) with $k \neq 0$, satisfying

$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty} + \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} < \infty, \tag{1.8}$$

and the additional condition

$$v \in L^{\frac{3N}{N-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \tag{1.9}$$

if $N \geq 7$. Then, $v = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$. The functions Φ and ρ are determined by solving the system:

$$\begin{cases} \rho \nabla \Phi = \dfrac{a}{k} \nabla \rho^{\gamma}, \\ \Delta \Phi = \rho - \rho_0. \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

(ii) *(Navier-Stokes equations): Suppose (ρ, v) is a smooth solution to (NS) satisfying*

$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} < \infty, \tag{1.11}$$

and the additional condition (1.9) if $N \geq 7$. Then, $v = 0$ and $\rho =$constant on $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Remark 1.1 Let us compare the above theorem with the previous result in [1], which says that a solution to (NS) satisfying

$$\|\sqrt{\rho} v\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} + \|\rho^\gamma\|_{L^1} < \infty \tag{1.12}$$

is vacuum, $\rho = 0$. The main difference between (1.12) and (1.11) is that in (1.12) we are assuming the spatial infinity is vacuum,

$$\rho(x) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \to \infty, \tag{1.13}$$

while in (1.11) it is allowed to have

$$\rho(x) \to \rho_\infty \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \to \infty \tag{1.14}$$

for a constant $\rho_\infty > 0$. Even with such non-vacuum condition at infinity the Liouville type result holds. In particular for the 2D stationary Navier-Stokes equations if $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and ρ satisfies (1.14), then $v = 0$ and $\rho = \rho_\infty$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Let us consider a radial cut-off function $\sigma \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\sigma(|x|) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > 2, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

and $0 \le \sigma(x) \le 1$ for $1 < |x| < 2$. Then, for each $R > 0$, we define

$$\sigma\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right) := \sigma_R(|x|) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{2.2}$$

We multiply (1.2) by $v\sigma_R(x)$, and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^N$, and integrate by part to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2\sigma_R\,dx + (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\text{div } v)^2\sigma_R\,dx \\
&\quad = -\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v\cdot(\nabla\sigma_R\cdot\nabla)v\,dx - (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \text{div } v(v\cdot\nabla)\sigma_R\,dx \\
&\qquad +k\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\sigma_R\rho(v\cdot\nabla)\Phi\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\sigma_R(v\cdot\nabla)p\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\sigma_R v\cdot\text{div }(\rho v\otimes v)\,dx \\
&:= I_1 + \cdots + I_5.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Let us estimate $I_1, \cdots, I_5$ term by term.

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_1| &\le \frac{\mu}{R}\int_{\{R\le|x|\le 2R\}} |\nabla\sigma||v||\nabla v|\,dx \\
&\le \frac{\mu\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{R}\left(\int_{\{R\le|x|\le 2R\}} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \|v\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(R\le|x|\le 2R)}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(R\le|x|\le 2R)} \\
&\le C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(R\le|x|\le 2R)} \to 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

as $R\to\infty$, where we used the Sobolev inequality, $\|v\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}} \le C\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}$. Estimate of I_2 is similar to I_1 and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_2| &\le \frac{\mu+\lambda}{R}\int_{\{R\le|x|\le 2R\}} |\nabla\sigma||v||\nabla v|\,dx \\
&\le C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(R\le|x|\le 2R)} \to 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

as $R\to\infty$. In order to estimate I_3 we first integrate by part to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= -k\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\sigma_R\text{div }(\rho v)\Phi\,dx - k\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Phi\rho(v\cdot\nabla)\sigma_R\,dx \\
&= -k\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Phi\rho(v\cdot\nabla)\sigma_R\,dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (1.1). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq \frac{k}{R}\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\{R\leq|x|\leq 2R\}}|v|\,dx \\ &\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}(R\leq|x|\leq 2R)}\to 0 \qquad (2.6)\end{aligned}$$

as $R\to\infty$. In order to estimate I_4 we write the pressure term in the following form:

$$\nabla p = a\nabla\rho^\gamma = \frac{a\gamma}{\gamma-1}\rho\nabla\rho^{\gamma-1}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= -\frac{a\gamma}{\gamma-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\sigma_R\rho v\cdot\nabla\rho^{\gamma-1}\,dx \\ &= \frac{a\gamma}{\gamma-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\sigma_R\,\mathrm{div}\,(\rho v)\rho^{\gamma-1}\,dx + \frac{a\gamma}{\gamma-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\rho^\gamma(v\cdot\nabla)\sigma_R\,dx \\ &= \frac{a\gamma}{\gamma-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\rho^\gamma(v\cdot\nabla)\sigma_R\,dx \end{aligned}$$

thanks to (1.1). Thus, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4| &\leq \frac{a\gamma}{(\gamma-1)R}\|\rho\|^\gamma_{L^\infty}\int_{\{R\leq|x|\leq 2R\}}|v||\nabla\sigma|\,dx \\ &\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}\|\rho\|^\gamma_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}(R\leq|x|\leq 2R)}\to 0 \qquad (2.7)\end{aligned}$$

as $R\to\infty$. For the term I_5 we first compute by integration by part

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\sigma_R\,|v|^2\mathrm{div}\,(\rho v)\,dx + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^N\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\sigma_R\rho(v\cdot\nabla)|v|^2\,dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\sigma_R\,\mathrm{div}\,(\rho v)|v|^2\,dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^2(v\cdot\nabla)\sigma_R\,dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^2(v\cdot\nabla)\sigma_R\,dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we estimate

$$|I_5| \leq \frac{1}{2R}\int_{\{R\leq|x|\leq 2R\}}|v|^3|\nabla\sigma|\,dx. \qquad (2.8)$$

We estimate the right hand side of (2.8), depending on N.

(i) For $N=2$ we use the following Ladyzenskaya's inequality([3]),

$$\|v\|_{L^4} \leq 2\|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_5| &\leq \frac{\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{2R}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|v|^4\,dx\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}\left(\int_{\{R\leq|x|\leq 2R\}}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\\
&\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{L^4}^3R^{-\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}R^{-\frac{1}{2}}\to 0 \qquad (2.9)
\end{aligned}$$

as $R\to\infty$.

(ii) For $N=3$ we use the L^p−interpolation followed by the Sobolev inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_5| &\leq \frac{\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{2R}\left(\int_{\{R\leq|x|\leq 2R\}}|v|^{\frac{9}{2}}\,dx\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\int_{\{R\leq|x|\leq 2R\}}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\\
&\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}}(R\leq|x|\leq 2R)}^3\\
&\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(R\leq|x|\leq 2R)}^{\frac{1}{3}}\|v\|_{L^6}^{\frac{8}{3}}\\
&\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(R\leq|x|\leq 2R)}^{\frac{1}{3}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{8}{3}}\to 0 \qquad (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

as $R\to\infty$.

(iii) For $4\leq N\leq 6$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_5| &\leq \frac{\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{2R}\left(\int_{\{R\leq|x|\leq 2R\}}|v|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}\,dx\right)^{\frac{3(N-2)}{2N}}\left(\int_{\{R\leq|x|\leq 2R\}}1\,dx\right)^{\frac{6-N}{2N}}\\
&\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(R\leq|x|\leq 2R)}^3R^{2-\frac{N}{2}}\to 0 \qquad (2.11)
\end{aligned}$$

as $R\to\infty$, since $\|v\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}}\leq C\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}<\infty$.

(iv) For $N\geq 7$ we use the additional hypothesis $v\in L^{\frac{3N}{N-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_5| &\leq \frac{\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{2R}\left(\int_{\{R\leq|x|\leq 2R\}}|v|^{\frac{3N}{N-1}}\,dx\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\left(\int_{\{R\leq|x|\leq 2R\}}1\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\\
&\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{L^{\frac{3N}{N-1}}(R\leq|x|\leq 2R)}^3\to 0 \qquad (2.12)
\end{aligned}$$

as $R \to \infty$.

Thus passing $R \to \infty$ in (2.3), we find from (2.4)-(2.12) that

$$\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx + (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\text{div } v)^2 \, dx = 0,$$

and $v =$ constant vector in $\mathbb{R}^N$, which combined with the integrability conditions provides us with $v = 0$. Thus the equation (1.2) is reduced to the first part of (1.10). In the case of (ii) the reduced equation is $\nabla p = 0$, which implies that $\rho =$constant. $\square$

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Prof. Z. Xin, whose question for the validity of the Liouville type result for (NS) with the non-vacuum boundary condition at infinity motivated this work. This research was supported partially by NRF Grant no. 2006-0093854.

References

[1] D. Chae, *On the nonexistence of global weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$,* Comm. PDE , **35**, no. 3, (2010), 535-557.

[2] E. Feireisl, *Dynamics of viscous compressible Fluids,* **vol. 26**, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (2004).

[3] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow,* Gordon and Breach, (1969).

[4] P.-L. Lions, *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics,* **vol. 2**, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1998).

[5] A. Novotný and I. Straškrava, *Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Compressible Flow,* **vol. 27**, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, Clarendon Press, Oxford,(2004).

[6] Z. Xin, *Blowup of Smooth Solutions to the Compressible Navier-Stokes Equation with Compact Density,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math, **51**, no. 3, 229-240, (1998).